STATEMENT OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

DATE OF DECISION	Monday, 30 October 2017	DECISION MAKER
DECISION REFERENCE	2/30.10.17/3	Executive (Councillors Sean Anstee, Stephen Anstee, L. Evans, Hopps, Myers, John Reilly, Whetton and Alex Williams)

RECORD OF THE DECISION

GREATER MANCHESTER HS2 AND NORTHERN POWERHOUSE RAIL GROWTH STRATEGY

- 1. That the contents of the draft Greater Manchester HS2 and Northern Powerhouse Rail Growth Strategy (GMGS) at Appendix A to the report, having been considered, be endorsed.
- 2. That authority to finalise the GMGS be delegated to the Director of Growth and Regulatory Services, in consultation with the Leader of the Council and Executive Member for Housing and Strategic Planning, and GM partners.
- 3. That it be agreed that the Growth Strategy is submitted to the Department for Transport (DfT) and Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) for consideration.
- 4. That it be noted that, following further discussion with Government, a report will be submitted to a future meeting of the Executive on the proposed second Greater Manchester Transport Fund, and options for a funding structure with Government to enable Trafford Council, Manchester City Council, TfGM and GMCA to implement the Growth Strategy for both Manchester Piccadilly and Manchester Airport.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

To support Greater Manchester's case for investment in the two proposed HS2 stations and ensure that Greater Manchester is best placed to maximise the benefits offered by HS2 and NPR. Delegation to the Director of Growth and Regulatory Services to finalise the Growth Strategy, in consultation with the Leader of the Council and Executive Member for Housing and Strategic Planning, and GM partners, will enable any non-consequential changes to be made to the document ahead of final submission in a timely manner.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED AT THE MEETING/BY MEMBERS

The HS2 GM Growth Strategy has been prepared to demonstrate how Greater Manchester intends to maximise the potential of HS2 and NPR. Not submitting the GMGS to government would hinder discussions with government on HS2 and the investment required and could stifle economic growth in the Region.

CONFLICT	S OF INTE	PEST DEC	ARED AND	ANY AS	SCOCIATED	DISPENSATIO	١ĸ
CUNFLICI	O OF INTE	ENEST DEG	LARED AND	MINI AS	JOUGIALED	DISCENSATION	JIV

None.

Scrutiny Call in Deadline

Wednesday, 8 November 2017

<u>Implementation will be on the following working day</u>

PUBLICATION DATE

1/11/17

RECORDED BY:

STATEMENT OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

DATE OF DECISION	Monday, 30 October 2017	DECISION MAKER
DECISION REFERENCE	2/00.10.17/0	Executive (Councillors Sean Anstee, Stephen Anstee, L. Evans, Hopps, Myers, John Reilly, Whetton and Alex Williams)

RECORD OF THE DECISION

AGREEMENT FOR THE DELIVERY OF HIGHWAYS ENGLAND CYCLING, SAFETY AND INTEGRATION FUNDED WORKS

- 1. That authority be delegated to the Director of Legal and Democratic Services, in consultation with the Corporate Director for EGEI, to finalise and execute the Agreement (and similarly to agree and execute any subsequent amendments).
- 2. That the increase to the Capital Programme of £2.675m to be financed by capital grant be approved.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Agreement is required to formalise the working relationship between Highways England and Trafford Council. This will enable the CSI project to be introduced and for the CSI funds to be released.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED AT THE MEETING/BY MEMBERS

The only option is not to proceed with the recommendation which would result in the project not being implemented and the grant fund not being released.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DECLARED AND ANY ASSOCIATED DISPENSATION

None.

Scrutiny Call in Deadline

Wednesday, 8 November 2017

Implementation will be on the following working day

PUBLICATION DATE

1/11/17

RECORDED BY:

STATEMENT OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

DATE OF DECISION	Monday, 30 October 2017	DECISION MAKER
DECISION REFERENCE	2,00.10.1777	Executive (Councillors Sean Anstee, Stephen Anstee, L. Evans, Hopps, Myers, John Reilly, Whetton and Alex Williams)

RECORD OF THE DECISION

ADOPTION OF THE TRAFFORD PLAYING PITCHES STRATEGY

- 1. That the content of the Playing Pitch Strategy Assessment Report be noted.
- 2. That the adoption of the Playing Pitch Strategy and Action Plan be approved.
- 3. That the proposal to commence a review of PPS in line with Sport England Guidance be noted.
- 4. That the criteria and process for considering applications for Long Term Security of Tenure (25 year maximum) be approved.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The proposed Strategy enhances Trafford Council's and Trafford's sports clubs ability to attract external funding to improve facilities, will support Trafford Councils Physical Activity Strategy and the Open Space Agenda, and will also help to meet a number of wider corporate objectives relating to health and wellbeing, and encouraging participation in sports.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED AT THE MEETING/BY MEMBERS

Not to adopt the Trafford Playing Pitch Strategy. However this could impact the Planning department's decision making process and will impact on the ability of individual clubs to apply for and secure funding to maintain and improve facilities across the Borough. Or do nothing. This is not an option given the condition of the playing pitches in Trafford. The standard of playing pitch quality will reduce where overplay continues.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DECLARED AND ANY ASSOCIATED DISPENSATION

None.

Scrutiny Call in Deadline

Wednesday, 8 November 2017

Implementation will be on the following working day

PUBLICATION DATE

1/11/17

RECORDED BY:

STATEMENT OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

DATE OF DECISION	Monday, 30 October 2017	DECISION MAKER
DECISION REFERENCE	2/30.10.17/0	Executive (Councillors Sean Anstee, Stephen Anstee, L. Evans, Hopps, Myers, John Reilly, Whetton and Alex Williams)

RECORD OF THE DECISION

S75 IMPROVED BETTER CARE FUND AGREEMENT 2017-8 BETWEEN TRAFFORD CLINICAL COMMISSIONING GROUP AND TRAFFORD COUNCIL

- 1. That approval be given to the s.75 partnership agreement between Trafford CCG and the Council for the Better Care Fund 2017 -18 and to formalisation of the funding for 2016 17.
- 2. That it be agreed that the Trafford Clinical Commissioning Group will host the s.75 agreement.
- 3. That authority be delegated to the Chief Legal Officer to complete the Agreements on behalf of the Council.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The Section 75 agreement between the CCG and the Council is a requirement of the Better Care Fund national guidance.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED AT THE MEETING/BY MEMBERS

It is a requirement of the Better Care Fund national guidance to enter into a Section 75 agreement between the Council and the CCG. The guidance sets out the expectations of the required agreement and a national template provided on which the Trafford agreement is based. Therefore no other options were considered.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DECLARED AND ANY ASSOCIATED DISPENSATION

None.

Scrutiny Call in Deadline

Wednesday, 8 November 2017

Implementation will be on the following working day

PUBLICATION DATE

1/11/17

RECORDED BY:

STATEMENT OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

DATE OF DECISION	Monday, 30 October 2017	DECISION MAKER
DECISION REFERENCE	2/00:10:17/0014	Executive (Councillors Sean Anstee, Stephen Anstee, L. Evans, Hopps, Myers, John Reilly, Whetton and Alex Williams)

RECORD OF THE DECISION

STRATEGIC INVESTMENT

- 1. That the proposals set out in the report, and in particular the recommendations for financial support to the Manchester and Stansted transformation programme through the form of further shareholder loans, be noted.
- 2. That it be noted that the Transformation Programme outlined is fully aligned to the strategic economic and regeneration objectives for the Council.
- 3. That authority be delegated to the Chief Executive in consultation with the Chief Finance Officer, Chief Legal Officer, Executive Member for Corporate Resources and Executive Member for Investment to approve the funding package including the Council's shareholder loan.
- 4. That the extensive due diligence that has been completed, and the conclusions of that exercise as set out in the Due Diligence section of the associated Part 2 report, be noted.
- 5. That an increase in capital expenditure be approved, as detailed in the associated Part 2 report, supported by earmarked reserves, any additional borrowing requirement being included in the budget setting report if necessary.
- 6. That the proposals for the shareholder loan be approved.
- 7. That the Chief Executive, Chief Finance Officer and Chief legal Officer be authorised to negotiate and finalise the detailed arrangements in respect of the shareholder loan, and to progress the financial and legal work associated with it.
- 8. That the Chief Finance Officer be authorised to determine the detailed accounting arrangements for the loan, including the classification between revenue and capital.
- 9. That the Chief Legal Officer be authorised to enter into any necessary agreements or documents to give effect to the above recommendations.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The airport transformation programme that the loans are supporting should enable the future anticipated dividend rates to be paid. Without the capital investment there is a very real risk that the level of dividend payable will reduce considerably in future years. In 2017/18 £2.60m of airport dividend is supporting the revenue budget.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED AT THE MEETING/BY MEMBERS

The Executive could decide not to agree to the loan but this would have implications on the revenue budget and potentially affect the future dividend levels received from MAG which are used to support other Council spending.

None.

Scrutiny Call in Deadline

Wednesday, 8 November 2017

Implementation will be on the following working day

PUBLICATION DATE

1/11/17

RECORDED BY:

STATEMENT OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

DATE OF DECISION	Monday, 30 October 2017	DECISION MAKER
DECISION_ REFERENCE	2/30.10.17/10	Executive (Councillors Sean Anstee, Stephen Anstee, L. Evans, Hopps, Myers, John Reilly, Whetton and Alex Williams)

RECORD OF THE DECISION

TRAFFORD COUNCIL AND NHS TRAFFORD CLINICAL COMMISSIONING GROUP INTEGRATION CONSULTATION AND ENGAGEMENT PROPOSALS

That the Trafford Council and NHS Trafford Clinical Commissioning Integration staff consultation and engagement process commence.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

To ensure staff and unions are fully engaged and informed of the proposals to bring Trafford Council and NHS Trafford Clinical Commissioning Group services together it is essential that a robust consultation process is undertaken.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED AT THE MEETING/BY MEMBERS

This approach is in line with the GM Health and Social Care Partnership priorities for system wide reform which promotes integration. If integration were not undertaken the full system wide benefits outlined in the proposals would not be realised.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DECLARED AND ANY ASSOCIATED DISPENSATION

None.

Scrutiny Call in Deadline

Wednesday, 8 November 2017

<u>Implementation will be on the following</u> working day

PUBLICATION DATE

1/11/17

RECORDED BY: